Differential Action of Monohydroxylated Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons with Estrogen Receptors $\alpha$ and $\beta$
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Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a diverse group of widespread environmental pollutants, some of which have been found to be estrogenic or antioestrogenic. Recent data have shown that hydroxylated PAH metabolites may be responsible for the estrogenic effects of some PAHs. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of several PAHs, as well as their monohydroxylated metabolites, on estrogen receptors (ERs), ER$\alpha$ and ER$\beta$. Three parent PAHs and their monohydroxylated metabolites were each evaluated using transcriptional reporter assays in isogenic stable cell lines to measure receptor activation, competitive binding assays to determine ligand binding, and bioluminescence resonance energy transfer assays to assess dimerization. Finally, the estrogenic effects of the hydroxylated metabolites were confirmed by quantitative real-time PCR of estrogen-responsive target genes. Although the parent PAHs did not induce ER$\alpha$ or ER$\beta$ transcriptional activity, all of the monohydroxylated PAHs (1-OH naphthanol, 9-OH phenanthrene, 1-OH pyrene) selectively induced ER$\beta$ transcriptional activity at the concentrations tested, while not activating ER$\alpha$s. Additionally, the monohydroxylated PAHs were able to competitively bind ER$\beta$, induce ER$\beta$ homodimers, and regulate ER$\beta$ target genes. Although monohydroxylated PAHs appeared to have weak agonist activity to ER$\beta$, our results showed that they can elicit a biologically active response from ER$\beta$ in human breast cancer cells and potentially interfere with ER$\beta$ signaling pathways.
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Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have been of increasing concern in the human health field due to their widespread dispersion in the environment and the adverse health effects associated with PAH exposure (Baird et al., 2005). Formed through the incomplete combustion of organic compounds, PAHs can be found in charbroiled foods, cigarette smoke, contaminated soil, vehicle exhaust, and in the atmosphere from the by-products of industrial processes. PAH exposure can have several adverse effects, including carcinogenesis and endocrine disruption.

Although PAHs are a diverse group of chemicals, most are metabolized by cytochrome P450s, a superfamily of enzymes that mediate the oxidation of lipophilic substrates (Anzenbacher, 2001; Bauer et al., 1995; Kim et al., 1998). The diol epoxide PAH metabolites are capable of inducing DNA damage (Baird et al., 2005), and many PAHs have been shown to be carcinogenic (Bauer et al., 1995; Kim et al., 1998). PAHs can also act as endocrine disrupting chemicals by interfering with normal estrogen signaling. Upon monohydroxylation, PAHs can induce estrogenic effects by directly interacting with estrogen receptors (ERs) (Arcaro et al., 1999; Fertuck et al., 2001a,b). These data suggest that the estrogenic effects of PAHs are primarily mediated by the monohydroxylated PAH metabolites.

ERs, members of the nuclear receptor superfamily of transcription factors, exist in two distinct isoforms, $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Encoded by separate genes on different chromosomes, ER$\alpha$ and ER$\beta$ have both overlapping and unique biological functions. The DNA-binding domains share 96% homology, and ERs bind similar estrogen response elements (EREs) to regulate transcription of target genes. The ligand-binding domains (LBDs), containing the hormone-dependent activation function (AF-2) (Tora et al., 1989), have 55% identity and have similar, but not identical, ligand-binding pockets (Pike et al., 1999). Upon ligand binding, the receptors dimerize and bind DNA to initiate transcription of target genes that mediate distinct biological effects. In the presence of estrogen, ER$\alpha$ is a known driver of cell proliferation, especially in breast cancer cells, whereas ER$\beta$ has been shown to inhibit ER$\alpha$-mediated cell proliferation (Hartman et al., 2006; Paruthiyil et al., 2004; Treeck et al., 2010).

Given the critical roles ERs play in regulating cell growth in response to estrogens, there has been significant effort put forth to understand and predict the impacts of xenoestrogens on ER
signaling. However, most studies have been performed solely in the context of ERα, with a limited number of PAHs tested. Here we utilize several in vitro assays to assess the effects of three PAHs and their monohydroxylated metabolites, shown in Figure 1, on the transcriptional activation, ligand binding, and dimerization of both ERα and ERβ. Compounds were initially screened for transcriptional activation using a previously characterized pair of isogenic breast cancer cell lines with inducible expression of either ERα or ERβ and a stably integrated estrogen-responsive reporter (Shanle et al., 2011). These cell lines provide a sensitive tool to directly compare the transcriptional induction of ERα and ERβ. Next, bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) assays were performed to evaluate the dimerization status of ERs. BRET assays are able to monitor protein-protein interactions in a live, cell-based system (Powell and Xu, 2008; Tremblay et al., 1999). Fluorescence polarization experiments were utilized to generate competitive binding curves and determine half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values. This provided a simple, yet specific way to determine whether the tested compound can compete with estrogen for binding to ER. Finally, compounds were evaluated for their ability to upregulate ERβ target genes via quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR).

Naphthalene, phenanthrene, and pyrene were chosen as parent PAH compounds for study because they have been detected at high levels in contaminated environments (Arcaro et al., 1999), and they are considered by to be Priority Pollutants according to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The hydroxylated metabolites were chosen due to their detection after metabolism of the parent compound (Cho et al., 2006; Rossbach et al., 2007). This is the first study to assess ER selective activity of these PAHs and their hydroxylated metabolites at the levels of transcriptional activity using isogenic reporter cell lines, ligand binding, and dimerization. The data demonstrate that monohydroxylated PAHs differentially interact with ERα and ERβ and exhibit stronger agonistic activity toward ERβ compared with ERα, suggesting that ERβ-mediated biological processes need to be evaluated to assess the outcomes of PAH exposure on humans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. All PAH compounds were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). Doxycycline (Dox) was obtained from Clontech (Mountain View, CA). ICI 182,780 was obtained from Tocris Bioscience (Ellisville, MO).

Cell culture and reporter assays. Cell culture media were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). HEK293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% Gibco Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS; Invitrogen) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Hs578T-ERαLuc and Hs578T-ERβLuc cells were previously created by Shanle et al. (2011) and were

FIG. 1. Chemical structures of select polycyclic aromatic compounds and monohydroxylated metabolites studied.
TABLE 1
Primer and Probe Sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Primer 1</th>
<th>Primer 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPL13A</td>
<td>5'-TGT TTG ACG GCA TCC CAC-3'</td>
<td>5'-CTG TCA CTG CCT GGT ACT TC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>5'-AACT TAC ATC ACA GAG CTG CG-3'</td>
<td>5'-AAG TCC TCA AGA TCG AC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAG1</td>
<td>5'-GGA TTA CGG GAA GCA CTG CG-3'</td>
<td>5'-AAA TAT ACC GCA CCC CTT CAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRIP1</td>
<td>5'-AGA TTC CCT GTC CTT CCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GGA AGT GTT TGG ATT GTG AGC-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Western blot analysis. Western blots were performed similarly to those previously reported (Shanle et al., 2011) with cells treated for 48 h with vehicle (DMSO) or 10 µM hydroxychlorinated PAH compound. Total protein was quantified using Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad), 35 µg of protein was resolved by SDS-PAGE, and membranes were incubated with 1:1000 anti-FLAG-M2 antibody (Sigma) overnight at 4°C. Membranes were then incubated with goat anti-rabbit HRP secondary antibody (Licor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) for 1 h at room temperature and visualized using SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA) on autoradiography film. Membranes were then washed and incubated with 1:5000 anti-β-Actin (Sigma) for 1 h at room temperature, then incubated with goat anti-mouse HRP secondary antibody (Licor Biosciences) for 1 h at room temperature and visualized using SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (ThermoScientific) on autoradiography film.

pcR analysis. Hs578T-ERαLuc cells were cultured in phenol red-free DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped FBS for 3 days prior to experiment to remove any residual estrogens. Cells were seeded into 96-well tissue culture plates in phenol red-free DMEM/F12 supplemented with 5% stripped serum and treated with 50 ng/ml of Dox 24 h prior to PAH treatment. Cells were then treated with 50 ng/ml Dox plus 0.1% DMSO control, 10nM E2, 10 µM 1-OH-naphthalene, 5 µM 9-OH phenanthrene, or 5 µM 1-OH pyrene for 24 h. Total RNA was extracted using the manufacturer’s protocol. One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed using Superscript II RT according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Invitrogen), and qPCR was performed using TaqMan Prime Time custom designed assays (IDT, Coralville, IA), FastStart Universal Probe Master Mix (Roche Scientific, Basel, Switzerland), and a CFX96 instrument (Bio-Rad). Primer and probe sequences are shown in Table 1.

Statistical analyses. Two-tailed Student’s t-tests were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.04 for Windows, GraphPad Software (www.graphpad.com).

RESULTS

Monohydroxylated PAHs Selectively Activate ERβ in Reporter Cell Lines

In order to test the hypothesis that hydroxylated PAHs may have estrogenic activity with differential effects on ERα and ERβ, we first utilized Hs578T-ERαLuc and Hs578T-ERβLuc reporter cells (Shanle et al., 2011). These cell lines have inducible expression of ERα or ERβ, respectively, and a stably integrated luciferase reporter just downstream of three tandem EREs. Previous work has shown that these cell lines are highly sensitive to estrogenic ligands and can be used to distinguish ER subtype selective ligands (Shanle et al., 2011). In this system, cells are first treated with Dox to induce expression of the receptor, followed by treatment with the corresponding compounds. In our initial experiments comparing the activation of ERα and ERβ, we observed that only hydroxylated PAHs conferred estrogenic activity at 10 µM (Fig. 2). The monohydroxylated PAH
compounds were able to induce the ERE-luciferase reporter activity primarily in the Hs578T-ER\(\beta\)_Luc cells (Fig. 2B). In these cells, 1-OH naphthalene, 9-OH phenanthrene, and 1-OH pyrene induced a 4.2-, 9.7-, and 8.7-fold change over DMSO vehicle control, respectively (\(p < 0.01\) in all cases). In contrast, only 1-OH pyrene induced the ERE-luciferase reporter activity in the Hs578T-ER\(\alpha\)_Luc cell line (\(p < 0.01\)), but not nearly to the same degree as that of 17\(\beta\)-estradiol (E2) (Fig. 2A). The ER antagonist ICI 182,780 blocked PAH-induced expression in all cases, reducing the luciferase signal to that of vehicle-treated cells. Reporter expression induced by 10nM E2 was not fully blocked by ICI 182,780 cotreatment because of the high concentration and potency of E2. No induction of reporter gene activity was seen in control experiments in which cells were not treated with Dox (Supplementary fig. 1), further confirming ER-mediated induction of the luciferase reporter.

**FIG. 2.** Differential activation of ER\(\alpha\) and ER\(\beta\) by select monohydroxylated PAH compounds. (A) Hs578T-ER\(\alpha\)Luc and (B) Hs578T-ER\(\beta\)Luc stable cell lines were treated in triplicate with 10pM of PAH compound in the presence or absence of 100nM ICI 182,780 for 24h. Data are expressed as fold induction of raw luciferase units per mg protein over the DMSO control \(\pm\) SD. Experiments were repeated at least twice. \(*p < 0.01\) compared with DMSO control.

**FIG. 3.** Monohydroxylated PAHs activate ER\(\beta\) in a dose-dependent manner. Hs578T-ER\(\beta\)Luc cells were treated with Dox for 24h followed by treatment with a range of concentrations of (A) 1-OH naphthalene, (B) 9-OH phenanthrene, or (C) 1-OH pyrene. The mean and SD shown are from triplicates of one representative experiment repeated twice.
We next determined the dose-dependent effects of the hydroxylated PAHs in the Hs578T-ERβLuc cells (Fig. 3). The half maximal effective concentration (EC_{50}) values for 1-OH naphthalene and 1-OH pyrene were found to be approximately 5.38 and 0.89 µM, respectively. 9-OH Phenanthrene proved to be cytotoxic at concentrations greater than 10 µM, and the dose-response curve did not adequately saturate; however, an approximate EC_{50} value was estimated to be ≥ 6.8 µM.

**Monohydroxylated PAHs Induce ERβ Dimers and Directly Bind the Receptor**

To further dissect the mechanism through which the monohydroxylated PAHs activate ERβ and confirm the selectivity of the compounds, ER dimerization induced by the compounds was assessed using BRET assays. BRET assays allow the determination of dimer formation in live cells by transfecting cells with an energy donor (ER fused to Renilla luciferase) and acceptor (ER fused to yellow fluorescent protein) (see Powell and Xu, 2008). Upon transfecting the cells with the fusion constructs for ERα or ERβ, 9-OH phenanthrene and 1-OH pyrene were shown to significantly induce ERβ homodimerization (p = 0.02 and 0.01, respectively) (Fig. 4B). In contrast, 1-OH naphthalene did not significantly induce ERβ dimerization as determined by the BRET assay (p = 0.35). Following the trend seen in the ERα ERE-reporter assay, the monohydroxylated PAH compounds were unable to induce ERα homodimers (Fig. 4A).

In order to confirm that ERβ dimerization and ERE-luciferase activity were directly induced by ligand binding, the ability of the monohydroxylated PAH compounds to displace fluorescein-labeled estradiol from human ERβ was assessed in a competitive binding assay (Fig. 5). The competition with E2 indicates that compounds directly bind to ERβ in the same ligand-binding pocket as E2. These competitive binding data yielded half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) values for 9-OH phenanthrene and 1-OH pyrene at 9.75 and 0.69 µM, respectively. In support of the BRET results, 1-OH naphthalene showed a much lower affinity for ERβ as evidenced by Figure 5A, but it was still able to displace E2 at higher concentrations. The approximate IC_{50} value for 1-OH naphthalene was estimated at or greater than 0.48 µM.

After determining that monohydroxylated PAHs bind ERβ, Western blots with FLAG antibody were used to determine the degradation status of the receptor (Supplementary fig. 2), as some ER ligands cause degradation of the receptor upon binding. These Western blots confirmed that ERβ was not degraded by the monohydroxylated PAHs within 48 h of treatment.

**Monohydroxylated PAHs Exhibit Estrogenic Activity on ERβ Target Genes**

To further validate the reporter assay and BRET assay results, the regulation of endogenous ERβ target genes was assessed. Estrogen responsive target genes of ERβ were previously identified in Hs578T-ERβ cells (Secreto et al., 2007). Two upregulated target genes (CC3 and NRIP1) and one downregulated target gene (JAG1) were selected for analysis by qPCR (Fig. 6). At 10µM, 1-OH naphthalene was able to induce CC3 and NRIP1 expression 2.1- and 2.2-fold over DMSO, respectively. Although the increased expression of CC3 did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.06),
NRIP1 was significantly upregulated by 1-OH naphthalene ($p = 0.02$). Treatment with 5µM 9-OH phenanthrene was able to significantly induce CC3 and NRIP1 expression 2.4-fold ($p = 0.02$) and 1.9-fold ($p < 0.01$) over DMSO, respectively. Similarly, 5µM 1-OH pyrene was able to significantly induce CC3 and NRIP1 expression 5.6-fold ($p = 0.02$) and 3.8-fold ($p < 0.01$) over DMSO, respectively. Additionally, all three monohydroxylated PAH compounds were able to downregulate the expression of JAG1, generating mean fold changes of 0.64 ($p = 0.02$), 0.36 ($p < 0.01$), and 0.32 ($p < 0.01$) over the DMSO control. It is important to note that although all compounds displayed some estrogenic activity on the target genes tested, the estrogenic response was not as robust as that of E2.

**DISCUSSION**

Numerous studies have investigated the relationship between PAHs, their hydroxylated metabolites, and potential interactions with the ERs, yet most have focused on ERα (reviewed by Santodonato, 1997). Hayakawa et al. (2007) reported estrogenic and antiestrogenic activity for multiple monohydroxylated derivatives of common PAHs in a yeast two-hybrid assay expressing ERα. Similar to our findings, they also reported that the parent PAH compounds lacked any estrogenic or antiestrogenic activity. Charles et al. (2000) also reported estrogenic activity for hydroxylated metabolites of the carcinogen benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) in MCF-7 cells, which primarily express ERα. Despite these previous findings, there have been relatively few studies comparing the effects of monohydroxylated PAHs on the differential activation and dimerization of ERα and ERβ.

Our results, consistent with prior studies, indicate that hydroxylated PAHs are the active estrogenic species and can differentially activate either ERα or ERβ. Although the compounds we tested exhibited no interaction with ERα, the interaction with ERβ is novel and significant. Inhibition of luciferase signal by the ER antagonist ICI 182,780, as well as the lack of luciferase signal in the absence of Dox, demonstrates that the results of the reporter assay are ERβ mediated. Competition with fluorescein-labeled estradiol indicates that these monohydroxylated PAH compounds directly bind to ERβ at the same ligand-binding pocket as E2. Fertuck et al. (2001a) investigated different parental PAH and metabolite compounds, and they similarly reported that hydroxylated PAHs were able to compete with estrogen and bind ERs with a slight preference for ERβ. Their data, consistent with our findings, suggest that hydroxylated PAHs may preferentially affect ERβ signaling. Given ERβ’s role in normal development and function in reproductive tissues as well as in the lungs, colon, prostate, and cardiovascular system, disruption of and interference with ERβ signaling could have implications in normal development, as well as in cancers and malfunctions of these tissues.

In addition to the reporter assay and competitive binding data, the BRET and qPCR data confirm that 9-OH phenanthrene and 1-OH pyrene induce a biologically active ERβ response in this...
system. Given our data, 1-OH naphthalene may not necessarily induce ERβ homodimers even at the high concentration tested (10µM). In support of these data, ligand-binding assays with 1-OH naphthalene demonstrate a relatively low binding affinity for ERβ. Despite the negative BRET data, qPCR for endogenous ERβ target genes suggest that 1-OH naphthalene is capable of inducing a slight biologically active ERβ response for some ERβ target genes although not to the same extent as E2. Collectively, the data obtained for 1-OH naphthalene demonstrate an important consideration of the in vitro assays used in this study: different assays have different sensitivities for detecting estrogenic activity and ER subtype selectivity. The ERβ homodimerization BRET assay typically shows a 1.5- to 2-fold induction with E2 treatment because of high ligand-independent dimerization (Powell and Xu, 2008). In addition, the BRET ratios ultimately depend on the conformational changes within the receptor fusion proteins, which allow for efficient energy transfer, and different ligands will induce different conformational changes, thereby affecting the BRET ratio output. Despite the lower fold changes for the ERβ homodimerization assay, BRET has been successfully used in a high-throughput manner to identify ER dimer selective ligands (Powell et al., 2010) and, in this study, demonstrated a significant induction of ERβ homodimerization by two other monohydroxylated PAHs, 1-OH pyrene and 9-OH phenanthrene.

Although each monohydroxylated PAH tested gave a similar pattern of results, the relative activity of each compound is quite different. Our data indicate that 1-OH naphthalene is the weakest ERβ agonist among the tested metabolites, as demonstrated by low reporter gene output, a lack of saturation in the dose-response reporter assays, low induction of ERβ dimerization, and a lower binding affinity for ERβ. In contrast, 1-OH pyrene and 9-OH phenanthrene appear to be fairly efficient ERβ agonists. Both ligands induced ERE-reporter gene activity similar to E2 and effectively displaced E2 from the ERβ ligand-binding pocket. Both compounds also significantly elicited ERβ homodimerization. 9-OH Phenanthrene generated data similar to 1-OH pyrene with the exception that it proved to be cytotoxic at concentrations greater than 10µM, resulting in difficulty to obtain accurate EC50 values. Despite the cytotoxicity of 9-OH phenanthrene at high concentrations, treatment with lower concentrations of 9-OH phenanthrene (5µM) stimulated the regulation of endogenous ERβ target genes in Hs578T-ERβLuc cells. These data suggest that some monohydroxylated PAHs can affect ERβ-mediated signaling prior to inducing general cytotoxicity.

**FIG. 6.** Monohydroxylated PAHs can regulate ERβ target genes similar to estradiol. Expression of ERβ target genes (CC3, NRIP1, and JAG1) was determined by measuring relative mRNA levels using qPCR. RNA was collected following treatment with 0.1% DMSO, 10nM E2, 10µM 1-OH naphthalene, 5µM 9-OH phenanthrene, or 5µM 1-OH pyrene for 24-h and 48-h treatment with 50ng/ml Dox. Data are expressed as fold induction compared with DMSO control. Error bars represent SEM. *p < 0.05 compared with DMSO control.
Although our data did not indicate that any of the monohydroxylated PAHs tested had an effect on ERα, others have reported ERα estrogenic effects for these compounds. Hayakawa et al. (2007) reported that all three monohydroxylated PAHs exhibited little to no ERα estrogenic activity, but that 1-OH pyrene was able to compete with E2 for ERα binding. Additionally, Wiele et al. (2004) reported that 1-OH pyrene showed ERα estrogenic activity in colon extracts from a simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. Discrepancies across these studies may be due to the use of different assays and cell lines to assess the estrogenic activity.

Overall, these data suggest that common monohydroxylated PAHs can interact, positively or negatively, with ER signaling. We can conclude from our results and from other studies that hydroxylated PAHs are the active estrogenic species and can differentially bind ERα or ERβ, likely in a cell- and tissue-specific manner. Few studies assessing the physiological serum concentrations of monohydroxylated PAHs have been published, although monohydroxylated PAHs may be used as urine biomarkers to assess exposure to PAHs (Elolvaara et al., 2006). It is therefore difficult to predict the concentrations of monohydroxylated PAHs that reach tissues such as the mammary gland, and the concentrations shown to be estrogenic in these studies may or may not be reached in the serum. Some estrogenic compounds in the diet, such as genistein found in soy products, can reach serum concentrations near the micromolar range (Cassidy et al., 2006). Ultimately, the physiological exposure to monohydroxylated PAHs will be a function of both exposure and metabolic activity, which will greatly vary among individuals. These in vitro studies, however, demonstrate the potential for monohydroxylated PAHs to impact ERβ-mediated signaling and provide a framework for assessing the impacts of other environmental chemicals on the dimerization and transcriptional activities of ERα and ERβ.
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